

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/921,081	08/02/2001	Mark L. Rehmann	16356.648 (DC-03060)	6204	
	590 08/27/2003				
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100			. EXAMI	EXAMINER	
DALLAS, TX 75202		LOCKETT, KIME		MBERLY R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,	2837		
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	- Un
3		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Summary	09/921,081	REHMANN, MARK L.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Kim R. Lockett	2837
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
I HE I - External form of the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repl ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e. cause the application to become APAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing days of this communication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	June 2003 .	
2a)⊠	•	nis action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	٦.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.		•
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement	
	on Papers	requirement.	
9)□ 1	he specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.	
10)[] T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	oted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th		
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
12)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 🗸	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
	All b) Some * c) None of:	•	
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ication No.
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list	ity documents have been rec reau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	eived in this National Stage
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been	received.
ttachment(
) Notice) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Sum. 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trac O-326 (Rev.	A . A	ion Summary	Part of Paner No. 4

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,6,8,9,13,14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Porzilli in view of Adamson.

Porzilli discloses the use of a speaker apparatus comprising a speaker(10), and an acoustic box(12) connected to the speaker, the box having a sound reflecting distal wall including a plurality of stepped portions with varying distances (claim 8) and mounting ledges (claims 6 and 14) of variable distances from the speaker(see figure 1). Porzilli does not disclose a speaker with stepped portions being a different distance from the speaker than each other stepped portion and to the speaker.

Adamson discloses the use of a speaker housing with stepped portions being a different distance from the speaker than each other stepped portion and being substantially parallel to the speaker (see figure 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the enclosure as taught by Adamson with the stepped portions as taught by in order to achieve high frequency waveforms.

Art Unit: 2837

2. Claims 2-5,7, 10-12, 17, 15, and 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Porzilli and Adamson in view of Mitchell.

Porzilli and Adamson do not disclose the use of a microprocessor mounted in the chassis.

Mitchell discloses the use of a chassis(12), a microprocessor(27) mounted in the chassis, an input coupled to provide input to the microprocessor, a storage coupled to the microprocessor, a video controller coupled to the microprocessor, a memory coupled to provide storage (see figures 1 and 3).

Mitchell also disclose the use of a snap fit disclosure using mounting and retention tabs(52)

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the chassis as taught by Porzilli with the microprocessor system as taught by Mitchell in order to provide a system for efficiently directing sound waves.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huszty et al discloses the use of a speaker enclosure with stepped portions.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 3/19/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues the lack stepped portions on a speaker. Porzilli

Art Unit: 2837

clearly discloses the use of a speaker apparatus comprising a speaker, an acoustic box connected to the speaker, the box having a sound reflecting distal wall including a plurality of stepped portions with varying distances and mounting ledges of variable distances from the speaker (see figure 1). While Porzilli does not disclose a speaker with stepped portions being a different distance from the speaker than each other stepped portion. Adamson discloses the use of a speaker housing with stepped portions located on the rear of the speaker and being a different distance from the speaker than each other stepped portion and being substantially parallel to the speaker (see figure 4). Mitchell discloses the use of a chassis, a microprocessor mounted in the chassis, an input coupled to provide input to the microprocessor, a storage coupled to the microprocessor, a video controller coupled to the microprocessor, a memory coupled to provide storage. Mitchell also discloses the use of a snap fit disclosure using mounting and retention tabs.

With regards to the applicant's arguments regarding non-analogous art, "it has been held that the test the determination that a reference is from a nonanalogous art is twofold. First, we decide if the reference is within the field of the inventor's endeavor. If it is not, we proceed to determine whether the reference is reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the inventor was involved. *In re Wood, 202 USPQ 171,* 174.

With regards to the applicant's arguments regarding motivation, regarding the combination of two speaker housings, "it has been held that the test for obviousness is not whether the features of one reference may be bodily incorporated into the other to

Art Unit: 2837

produce the claimed subject matter but simply what the combination of references makes obvious to one of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. *In re Bozek, 163 UPQ 545* (CCPA 1969)

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or filed papers should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO 2800 Fax Center located at Crystal Plaza 4. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice

published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15,1989). The Group 2800 CP 4 Fax Center number is (703) 308-77(22 or 24). Fax numbers that provide an autoreply fax receipt are: for before finals (703) 872-9318 and after finals (703) 872-9319.

For assistance in Patent procedure, fees or general Patent questions calls should be directed to the Patents Assistance Center (PAC) whose telephone number is 800-786-9199. Assistance is also available on the Internet at www.uspto.gov.

For requesting copies of Cited Art, Office Actions or the like, or General

Problem solving, calls should be directed to the TC 2800 Customer Service Office

whose telephone number is 703-306-3329 or by fax at 703-306-5515.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Lockett whose telephone number is (703) 308-7615. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi, can be reached on (703) 308-3370.

Kim Lockett Patent Examiner Art Unit 2837

PRIMARY EXAMINER